

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www waylo gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/567,101	02/03/2006	Masaaki Isozu	279077US6PCT	4638
		7590 07/14/201 AK. MCCLELLAND	0 MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.	EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		,	PHAM, TIMOTHY X	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2617	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567,101 ISOZU, MASAAKI

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
•								
	TIMOTHY PHAM	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MCNITHS from the maining date of this communication. If the state of t	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ine 2010.							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents 								
Certified copies of the priority documents								
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and a production						

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure-Statemant(s) (PTO/SBICE) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/567,101 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-26, drawn to a system, a terminal device, a control method, a nontransitory computer readable storage medium, comprising steps to creating a plurality of the routes to the first communication terminal and storing and managing the plurality of routes, classified in class 370, subclass 328.
- II. Claims 27-43, drawn to a system, a terminal device, a control method, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium, comprising steps of detecting a possible disconnection state in terms of a disconnection symptom for communication on the routes and notifying the possible disconnection state, classified in class 370, subclass 351.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I have separate utility such as creating the plurality of routes based upon reception of the message and determination that the message was not previously received, broadcasting the message to each terminal in communication with the communication terminal device. Invention II has separate utility such as notifying a possible disconnection state in terms of a disconnection symptom for communication on a first route upstream of the message and generating the message using a creation condition according to a

Application/Control Number: 10/567,101

Art Unit: 2617

second route other than the first route matching the possible disconnection state and originating the message. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, the invention of group I as claimed recites of establishing one of the plurality of routes as a communication route and communicating with the first communication terminal via the communication route wherein switches the communication route to any of the plurality of routes depending on needs whereas invention of group II as claimed recites a method for detecting a possible disconnection state in terms of a disconnection symptom for communication on a first route as an upstream side for the message and notifying the possible disconnection state detected by the first step to the first communication terminal. The two inventions have mutually exclusive features as stated, can have material different design, mode of operation, function, or effect, and do not overlap in scope due to the mutually exclusive features. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Conclusion

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY PHAM whose telephone number is (571)270-7115. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent P. Harper can be reached on 571-272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Timothy Pham/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617 /VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617